Clinical Significance of TAP1 and DLL4 Expression in Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are reported to associated with cancer metastasis, relapse, and chemoresistance. This study examined the clinical significance of the expression of two CSC markers, the transporter associated with antigen processing 1 (TAP1) and the Delta-like 4 (DLL4) protein, in patients with locally advanced GC. Patients and Methods: This study was performed using samples obtained from 413 pathological stage II/III GC patients after curative gastrectomy. We examined TAP1 and DLL4 expression using immunohistochemical analysis with tissue microarray and examined the association between TAP1 or DLL4 expression, clinicopathological factors and survival. Results: High TAP1 expression was associated with better overall survival compared to low TAP1 expression (p=0.004). Furthermore, in multivariate analysis, high TAP1 expression was defined as a predictive factor for good survival. There was no significant difference between DLL4 expression and clinicopathological features and overall survival. Conclusion: TAP1 expression may be a useful prognostic marker in patients with locally advanced GC.
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Gastric cancer (GC) has the fifth highest incidence among cancers with 1,089,103 new cases in 2020, accounting for 5.6% of all cancers. Importantly, GC is the fourth leading cause of cancer death with 768,793 deaths in 2020, accounting for 7.7% of all cancer-related deaths (1). The standard treatment for patients with stage II/III GC is curative gastrectomy and postoperative chemotherapy based on the results of three randomised phase III trials, i) ASTS-GC, ii) CLASSIC, and iii) JACCRO GC-07 trials (2-6).

Despite the improved outcome of GC patients with these treatments, the five-year overall survival (OS) rate of patients with stage II/III GC remains unsatisfactory (3, 5). Therefore, personalised treatment for GC based on biomarkers is considered as one of the strategies to improve the five-year OS rate of patients with stage II/III GC.

In 1997 it was reported that cancer cells originate from a small number of cells, which have self-replication and diversification characteristics of stem cells (7), and these stem cells were named cancer stem cells (CSCs). Subsequently, CSCs have been found in various cancers. CSCs are reported to be resistant to chemotherapy and radiation (8, 9). Previous studies have reported that the presence or not of CSCs is associated with the survival of cancer patients (10, 11); however, there are insufficient findings regarding the identification of CSC markers that are useful for predicting the prognosis of pathological (p) Stage II/III after curative gastrectomy. Thus, based on the data from the Cancer Genome Atlas, the Human Protein Atlas, and many previous reports on CSC markers (12, 13), we focused on two candidate biomarkers for GC: i) the transporter associated with antigen processing 1 (TAP1) and ii) delta-like 4 (DLL4).
TAP1 is involved in the transport of antigens from the cytoplasm to the endoplasmic reticulum for association with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules. It also acts as a molecular scaffold for the final stage of MHC class I folding, namely peptide binding (14). It has been reported that TAP1 is associated with tumour immune escape, and high expression of TAP1 is a poor prognostic factor for patients with stage I/II colorectal cancer (15). DLL4 is one of five ligands in the Notch signalling pathway (Jagged 1 and 2 and Delta-like ligands 1, 3, and 4) involved in tumorigenesis, tumour progression, tumour angiogenesis, and chemoresistance (16).

Figure 1. Representative score of the immunohistochemical staining of transporter associated with antigen processing 1 (TAP1) and Delta-like 4 (DLL4) (brown colour). Expression of TAP1 was observed in the cytoplasm of gastric cancer cells. Expression of DLL4 was observed in the cytoplasm and on cell membrane. Scale bar=100 μm (400× magnification).
We hypothesised that the expression of these CSC markers might predict the treatment outcomes in patients with pathological (p) Stage II/III GC owing to the characteristics of each protein. This study evaluated the clinical significance of TAP1 and DLL4 expression in cancer tissues of patients with GC who underwent curative gastrectomy.

Patients and Methods

Patients. The present research was approved by the research ethics committee of the Kanagawa Cancer Centre (No: Epidemiological Study-2019-133). Four hundred and thirteen patients with GC that underwent gastrectomy from August 2002 to August 2012 at the Kanagawa Cancer Centre, Yokohama, Japan, were selected from the clinicopathological database. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) a pathological diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma according to the definitions of the International Union Against Cancer TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, seventh edition (17), and ii) patients undergoing curative gastrectomy. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) death before discharge from hospital, ii) receipt of preoperative treatment, and iii) the presence of multiple cancers within five years, and iv) refusal to participate in this study. OS was measured from the day of surgery to death. All patients who participated in this study were briefed on the study and agreed to participate in this study.

Immunohistochemical analysis of TAP1 and DLL4 expression. Paraffin blocks of GC tissues were selected by a pathologist at the Kanagawa Cancer Centre, Yokohama Japan. For tissue microarray, the central and peripheral parts of GC tissues and normal tissue were marked by pathologists. Next, the cylindrical tissue core of the above three marked areas were acquired from each block using a tissue microarray instrument (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie, WI, USA). Tissue microarray slides of 5 μm thickness were deparaffinized and treated with 10 μM sodium citrate buffer for 25 min for the immunohistochemical analyses. Blocking was carried out with 5% normal goat serum (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA) in phosphate-buffered saline with Tween® 20 (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) and slides were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C for 12 h. Anti-TAPI antibody (ab137013, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-DLL4 (ab176876, Abcam) antibodies were both used at a dilution of 1:200. A secondary antibody, the peroxidase-labelled polymer (EnVision™+System-HRP, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) was used to detect both primary antibodies separately and was terminated before generalized background staining appeared in the negative controls. Sections were then counter stained for 1–2 min with Mayer’s haematoxylin.

Immunohistochemical evaluation of TAP1 expression was carried out according to the immunoreactive score (IRS) (18). IRS is a semi-quantitative assessment of expression intensity determined by multiplying the staining intensity in four grades (0=no, 1=weak, 2=moderate, and 3=strong intensity) by the percentage of positive cells in five gradations (0=no, 1=10%, 2=10%–50%, 3=51%–80%, and 4=80%). Based on the resulting IRS score (1–12), patients were divided into the low expression group (IRS≤6) and high expression group (IRS>6).

For the immunohistochemical evaluation of DLL4 expression, staining intensity of DLL4 expression in each tissue was evaluated in four grades (0=no, 1=weak, 2=moderate, and 3=strong intensity) according to the evaluation method by Ishigami et al. (19). DLL4 positivity was defined if tumour cells or stromal cells with a staining intensity of 3 were identified in >10% of each tissue. All the arrays were reviewed by two blinded pathologists. Discordant cases were reviewed and discussed until a consensus was reached.

Statistical analyses. The relationship between the expression levels of TAPI or DLL4 and clinicopathological characteristics were assessed using the Pearson’s chi-squared test. OS curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used to test the significance of the OS between the positive and negative expression groups. Univariate and multivariate survival analyses were performed using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. All statistical tests were two-sided, and significance was set at a p-Value<0.05. The SPSS software package (v11.0 J Win, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Patients’ characteristics. Representative expression levels (0, 1+, 2+, 3+) of TAP1 and DLL4 are presented in Figure 1. The clinicopathological characteristics of our patients are...
Figure 2. Survival rates. (A) Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank test for overall survival (OS) rates in TAP1-high and TAP1-low groups. The 5-year OS rate in patients with high and low TAP1 expression were 89.6% and 65.7%, respectively. High TAP1 expression group had significantly better OS than low TAP1 expression group (p=0.004 by log-rank test). (B) Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank test for overall survival rates in DLL4-high and DLL4-low groups. The 5-year OS rate in patients with high and low DLL4 expression were 65.5% and 70.4%, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups.
presented in Table I. Of the 413 patients included in this study, 63 (15.2%) patients had high TAP1 expression, and 107 (25.9%) patients had high DLL4 expression.

**Relationship between TAP1 and DLL4 expression and clinicopathological factors.** Eight clinicopathological factors were compared separately for high and low expressions of TAP1 and DLL4 (Table II). There were significant differences in the pathological stage of the TAP1 group and in the venous invasion of the DLL4 group.

**OS according to the expression level of TAP1 and DLL4.** The 5-year OS rate in patients with high and low TAP1 expression was 89.6% and 65.7%, respectively (Figure 2A). The high TAP1 expression group showed a significantly better OS compared to the low TAP1 expression group ($p=0.004$ by log-rank test). The 5-year OS rate in patients with high and low DLL4 expression was 65.5% and 70.4%, respectively; however, there was no significant difference between the two groups ($p=0.401$ by log-rank test) (Figure 2B).

**Univariate and multivariate analyses of the relationship between clinicopathological factors.** In the univariate and multivariate analyses, we analysed: i) age, ii) sex, iii) tumour size, iv) histological type (Lauren’s classification), v) tumour depth, vi) lymph node metastasis, vii) lymphatic invasion, viii) venous invasion, ix) pathological stage, x) TAP1 expression, and xii) DLL4 expression. In the univariate analyses, age, tumour size, tumour depth, and TAP1 expression were selected as significant factors for OS. In the multivariate analyses, age, tumour size, tumour depth, and TAP1 expression were independent predictive factors of OS after curative gastrectomy in patients with stage II/III GC (Table III).
Discussion

In our study, the high expression of TAP1 was significantly associated with better OS. Moreover, TAP1 expression in GC tissues may be a useful prognostic factor in patients with curatively resected GC.

Some studies have reported that the expression of TAP1 in various cancer tissues is associated with the survival of patients or better outcomes, such as in patients with colorectal (15) and breast cancer (20). Other studies have reported no relationship between TAP1 expression and outcomes in patients with ovarian cancer (21) and renal cell carcinoma (22). Finally, according to The Human Protein Atlas data, high TAP1 expression is significantly associated with poor outcomes in patients with lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and pancreatic carcinoma (23). Taken together, the relationship between TAP1 expression in cancer tissues and patients’ outcomes depends on the type of cancer.

Our study is the first to examine the expression of TAP1 in cancer tissues and outcomes in patients with pStage II/III GC after curative gastrectomy. It has been inferred that the decrease in the expression of human leukocyte antigen class I is induced by low expression level of TAP1, and the increasing proliferative capacity of Ras-transformed human cancer cells is induced by low expression level of TAP1 (24, 25). TAP1 expression is involved in antigen presentation by MHC class I and is involved in the transport of antigens from the cytoplasm to the endoplasmic reticulum for their association with MHC class I molecules. Tumour cells with high TAP1 expression escape CD8(+) cytotoxic T cell immunity by impairing the MHC class I antigen processing pathway (15, 26, 27). In addition, low TAP1 expression can elicit tumour immune escape in cancer tissues and give poor outcomes in patients with early-stage breast cancer (20). TAP1 mRNA is expressed in many tissues, and TAP1 protein colocalizes with Ras and Raf at the cell membrane (26). Reducing TAP1 expression by RNA interference can increase Ras/extracellular signal-regulated kinase signalling in multiple cell lines derived human carcinoma, such as alveolar adenocarcinoma, cervical carcinoma and breast adenocarcinoma (27). It has also been reported that reducing TAP1 expression increased the proliferative capacity of Ras-transformed human lung, cervical and breast cancer cell lines (27).

For DLL4 expression in gastric cancer, Ishigami et al. (25) have demonstrated that patients with high DLL4 expression have significantly poorer survival compared to those with low DLL4 expression, which is different from our results. Despite this, the same group has reported that DLL4 expression is not an independent prognostic factor in multivariate analysis, similar to our study.

Our study has several limitations. First, although it was conducted using a relatively large number of patients with GC (n=413), it was a single-centre, retrospective study. To obtain more reliable analysis results regarding the clinical significance of TAP1 in GC, a multicentre study with a larger patient number is necessary. Second, we examined the expression of TAP1 and DLL4 at the central and peripheral parts of each GC tissue using immunostaining; however, considering the heterogeneity, the expression levels of TAP1 and DLL4 at these three parts might not be representative of the whole tumour area.

The mechanisms by which the high TAP1 expression in cancer tissues of patients with GC is significantly associated with better outcomes remain unclear. Our conclusion is that TAP1 expression in GC may be a useful prognostic marker in patients with curatively resected GC.
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