Solid Pseudopapillary Tumor of the Pancreas: A Single-center Experience and Review of the Literature
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Abstract. Background: Solid pseudopapillary tumors (SPTs) of the pancreas are a rare occurrence, not exceeding 1-2% of all exocrine pancreatic tumors. SPT was first described in 1959 as “papillary tumor of the pancreas, benign or malignant” and affects mainly young women, in their second or third decade of age. These tumors are of low malignant potential, unclear pathogenesis, grow gradually and become considerably large before causing symptoms. A typical clinical presentation is often described by affected patients and, in some cases, an SPT is an incidental finding during the time the patient undergoes medical imaging studies for other health issues. SPT is frequently located at the head or tail of the pancreas. Metastases are rare but, when present, affect predominantly the liver. Patients and Methods: We report a series of five SPT cases in female patients 13-47 years old, presenting with almost identical symptoms of upper abdominal discomfort and non-tender palpable mass. Two out of five patients also reported vomiting, nausea and poor appetite as co-existing non-diagnostic symptoms. Only one patient presented without any symptoms. Tumor location and dimensions varied. One patient underwent a pancreatoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure), while the remaining patients underwent distal pancreaticectomy with concomitant splenectomy. Results: Perioperative morbidity and mortality was zero. All five patients are disease-free at a follow-up from 3 months to 13 years. Histopathology reports supported the diagnosis of SPT and no metastatic disease was present in any of the patients. Conclusion: The overall prognosis of SPT of the pancreas is excellent due to its favorable biological features, even in the presence of distal metastasis. Although surgical resection is often curative, a close follow-up is advised in order to diagnose a possible local recurrence or distal metastasis and choose the proper therapeutic option for the patients.
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pancreatic neoplasms (3-7). However, it has been recognized with increasing frequency in recent years as noted by Stömmner et al. (8). Specifically, with the widespread use and improvement of imaging techniques, these tumors are now being recognized at an increased frequency. Of note, the origin of these neoplasms has not yet been clarified. These neoplasms have a low malignant potential and usually occur in adolescent girls and young women (age range=8-67 years; mean=35); men are rarely affected (4, 9-12). Although it is thought that these tumors usually affect people of Asian or African-American origin, SPT can occur in patients of all races (13, 14). SPTs are usually characterized by a long asymptomatic period and either found incidentally on routine physical examination or cause atypical symptoms, occasionally after abdominal trauma (9, 15). Atypical symptoms include abdominal pain or discomfort, vomiting and other gastroenteritis-like symptoms. Jaundice is rare, even in tumors that originate from the head of the pancreas and there is no associated functional endocrine syndrome (16). Liver is found to be the organ mostly affected by SPT metastases, but these occur rarely (9, 17-19). We report five cases of non-metastatic SPT identified since 2003 with follow-up and a review of the literature.

Case Presentation

Case 1. A 47-year-old female presented to our Department with persistent left upper abdominal quadrant (LUQ) discomfort for four months. Her previous medical history included diabetes, four abortions and two uncomplicated deliveries. She denied any change in bowel habits or weight loss. Physical examination revealed mild epigastric tenderness and a palpable mass approximately 10 cm in diameter extending from LUQ to right upper quadrant (RUQ). Routine laboratory tests, including tumor marker levels, were within normal range. Abdominal ultrasonography (US) reported a heterogeneous semisolid mass with cystic components. Abdominal coaxial tomography (CT) revealed a well-defined 13.5x10x8 cm mass. The mass involved the pancreatic tail and was heterogeneous in consistency. Arterial

Figure 1. A 16-cm solid pseudopapillary tumor (SPT) located in the body/tail of pancreas. a, b: Non-gadolinium-enhanced abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (coronal and sagittal images) revealed a heterogeneous well-defined 13.5x10x8 cm mass involving the pancreatic tail; c: Encapsulated cystic tumor with hemorrhagic, friable content located in the pancreatic tail; d: Gross appearance of the resected solid pseudopapillary tumor.
and venous Doppler US showed the close relationship between the mass and the splenic vein. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdomen demonstrated a well-defined lesion with heterogeneous signal intensity on T1- and T2-weighted images, which reflects the complex nature of the mass (Figure 1a and b).

An explorative laparotomy was performed revealing an encapsulated mass in the body and tail of pancreas that involved the splenic artery and vein. Distal pancreatectomy with concomitant splenectomy was performed (Figure 1c and d). Histopathology reported a SPT of the pancreas without invasive growth pattern, suggesting a lesion of benign behavior. The tumor cells had strong expression of neuron-specific enolase (NSE), CD10, CD56, synaptophysin and progesterone receptors (PRs), while chromogranin A (CgA) was not expressed. No tumor cells were present in the excised lymph nodes. The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient entered a close follow-up program, including physical examination, serum tumor markers estimation (cancer antigen (Ca)19-9, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)) and CT scan imaging examination at six-month intervals for the first two years and then yearly for the following two years. On a CT scan, one and half year later, an adenoma was found at the right adrenal gland, 4.3×1.7 cm in size. Patient underwent a right adrenalectomy with a right flank incision. Histology showed nodular hyperplasia of the adrenal gland. At all follow-up examinations to date (13 years postoperatively), the patient remains fit and healthy.

Case 2. A 28-year-old female presented with RUQ pain irradiating to the right shoulder and arm, especially during night hours while sleeping. The patient was unable to report when the symptoms first started but reported that the symptoms worsened in the last two months. Her past medical history included diabetes mellitus type I and two uncomplicated pregnancies/deliveries. Her laboratory results were within normal range. No weight or appetite loss was reported. Physical examination did not reveal any
pathological findings. Patient underwent an abdominal US scan that reported a 3.5×4.8×4.2 cm heterogeneous mass connected to the pancreatic head with loci of tissue necrosis in both the center and the periphery of the mass. Abdominal CT and MRI scans (Figure 2a) supported the US findings - infarcts.

A Whipple’s procedure was performed (Figure 2b-d) and histopathology reported an SPT of the head of the pancreas that measured 5.5×4.5×3.5 cm in size, which was covered with membrane and developed partially outside of the pancreas, characterized by numerous loci of tissue necrosis and evident cystic degeneration (Figure 2e and f). Excised lymph nodes were free of tumor cells. The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient entered the same follow-up program as the above-mentioned patient. To date, 4 years postoperatively, the patient remains fit and healthy.

Case 3. A 28-year-old female was referred to our General Surgery Department with persistent upper abdomen discomfort after having a recent episode of possible acute gastroenteritis. Prior to this referral, the referring physician had requested an abdominal US scan that showed a large epigastric mass connected to the pancreatic tail. Past medical history consisted of breasts’ cosmetic surgery and nasal septum correction. At the time of the diagnosis, the patient was 4 weeks pregnant. Abdomen CT and MRI scan reported a heterogeneous mass of 8x9x6 cm in size connected to the posterior surface of the pancreatic tail and adjacent to the left renal vein and the stomach. Numerous loci of tissue necrosis and hemorrhage within the mass were also seen. The MRI scan also reported splenomegaly without infarcts.

As the patient was not aware of the pregnancy and she had received ionizing radiation during the CT scan, she decided to have an abortion based on medical indications. The patient underwent distal pancreatectomy with concomitant splenectomy. Intra-operatively, the mass was found to occupy the largest part of the posterior surface of the pancreas, arising from the neck and developed towards to the body and tail of the pancreas (Figure 3a-c). Because the tumor approached the junction of the splenic vein (SV) to

Figure 3. An 8-cm solid pseudopapillary tumor (SPT) located in the body/tail of pancreas. a: The SPT was found to occupy the largest part of the posterior surface of the pancreas, arising from the neck and developed towards to the body and tail of the pancreas; b: Splenic vein (SV) went through the tumor, with the late reaching the join of splenic vein to superior mesenteric vein (SMV); c: Gross appearance of the SPT and spleen after complete resection; d: Neoplastic cells with clear cytoplasm and hyalinized fibrous bands (hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), ×100).
superior mesenteric vein (SMV), the SV was cut at its junction with V-shape from SMV-portal vein and a transverse reconstruction was performed with 5-0 polydioxanone suture (PDS). The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient entered the already mentioned follow-up program. The excised mass was well-defined in a pseudocapsule and loci of hemorrhage were present in it. Histology revealed a neoplastic tumor of 8 × 6 × 3.8 cm, well encapsulated and partially prompting out of the pancreas, occupying almost the body and tail of it. Immunohistochemistry of the neoplastic cells, showed strong diffused expression of PR, CD10 and vimentin, whereas, in extended area, E-cadherin loss was noticed. CgA was not significant. Histology report concluded that the mass was an SPT without signs of malignancy (Figure 3d). Excised lymph nodes had no signs of metastasis. Fourteen months postoperatively, patient remains healthy and fit.

Case 4. A 13-year-old female presented with meteorism and eructation dating since three months. The symptoms were present only in standing position and were gradually worsening. Patient did not report any pathology in the past and she had been otherwise fit and well. Blood biochemistry and total blood count were within normal values. An abdominal US scan showed a mass of 8.7 × 6.8 × 7.3 cm in size, occupying the retroperitoneal space adjacent to the tail of the pancreas. An abdominal CT scan revealed a well-defined spherical mass, compressing the splenic artery and the major curvature of the stomach. The intravenously administered contrast was irregularly uptaken by the tumor, excluding the cystic elements of the latter. Contrast-enhanced MRI further supported the findings. Positron emission tomography (PET) study showed increased uptake of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (F-18 FDG) in the periphery of the tumor (standardized uptake values (SUV)_{max} = 3.0) (Figure 4a).

The patient underwent distal pancreatectomy with concomitant splenectomy (Figure 4b-d). The postoperative period was uneventful. Histopathology reported no signs of mitosis or malignancy, with identical tumor cells consisting of round nuclei and clear cytoplasm. These cells appeared to

Figure 4. An 1.5-cm solid pseudopapillary tumor (SPT) located in the body of pancreas. a: Positron emission tomography (PET) study showing increased uptake of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (F-18 FDG) in the periphery of the pancreatic tumor (standardized uptake values (SUV)_{max} = 3.0); b: SPT involving the splenic artery; c: Circumscribed brown-yellow tumor with solid and friable areas; d: Gross appearance of the resected SPT and spleen after complete resection.
be densely packed with eosinophilic material scattered in-
between the cell packs. Immunohistology reported
expression of vimentin, CD56, synaptophysin, PRs and β-
catenin. Based on these features, SPT was diagnosed. The
spleen and all excised lymph nodes were negative for
metastasis. At 12 months of follow-up, the patient is fit and
well without any signs of disease relapse.

**Case 5.** A 29-year-old female was referred to our Department
for treatment of a solitary tumor located in the neck of the
pancreas. The lesion was an incidental finding of an
abdominal US performed for a recent upper urinary
infection. The patient did not report any abdominal
symptomatology. Patient’s past history included
hypothyroidism, oral leukoplakia and multiple urinary
infections during the last 2 years. Clinical examination and
routine laboratory tests did not reveal any abnormal findings.
Abdominal MRI reported a 11.6 mm focal lesion situated
anterior to the spleno-portal vein junction (Figure 5a and b).
Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspirating biopsies
(EUS-FNA) were also performed revealing a non-
homogeneous 12×11 mm lesion at the neck of pancreas
without vessels in fine flow Doppler. FNA histology showed
cytomorphology and immunohistochemistry features of SPT.
Patient underwent distal pancreatectomy combined with
splenectomy (Figure 5c and d). Histopathology confirmed
the existence of a SPT of the neck of the pancreas. Patient’s
postoperative course was uneventful and she was discharged
on the 7th postoperative day. She has been free of any
symptoms since, four months postoperatively.

All patients’ data with tumor characteristics and
procedures details are presented in Table I.

**Discussion**

SPT of the pancreas is a rare pancreatic tumor that accounts
for 5% of cystic pancreatic tumors and 1-2% of exocrine
pancreatic neoplasms (20). This tumor was first described by
Frantz in 1959 as “papillary tumors of pancreas, benign or
malignant” (1). Ectopic pancreatic tissue can also be affected
by SPT but it is considered very rare (21). Since SPT’s first description in literature, various names have been used to describe this rare lesion, such as solid and cystic tumor of the pancreas, papillary-cystic tumor, solid and papillary epithelial neoplasm and Frantz tumor (3, 4, 22). In 1996, the World Health Organization renamed this tumor as SPT for the international histologic classification of tumor of the exocrine pancreas (22, 23). Female predominance has been reported at a ratio of 10:1 and the mean age of presentation is 22 years (24). In consistence with the reported demographics, all our reported patients are females. Three of these were older than the reported mean age of 22. The fourth patient was 14 years old, a rather unusual age at the time of presentation. The tumor has been found to be more prevalent in Asian and Black populations (25), although it can occur in all races (13, 14), and tended to be more fairly aggressive in the older male patients.

Although the pathogenesis of this tumor is unclear, SPT of the pancreas has distinctive pathologic characteristics. On gross examination, the mass is usually large, well-defined and encapsulated and contains a varying combination of loci with tissue necrosis, hemorrhage and/or cystic changes. In all cases reported in this manuscript, the tumor was well-defined with necrosis, hemorrhage and/or cystic changes.

The pathogenesis of this tumor is still controversial. By microscopic analysis, there are two types of cellular arrangements: solid and papillary (22). The histological appearance varies largely in different regions of the tumor. Solid areas consist of sheets and cords of round or ovoid cytologically bland cells arranged on the perimeter of delicate fibrovascular septa (26). Mitotic activity is usually low and true necrosis is uncommon; however, cystic degeneration is very frequent. The pathologic diagnosis is dependent on the presence of solid areas alternating with pseudopapillary pattern and pseudorosettes. SPTs are typically positive for vimentin, NSE, alpha-1-antitrypsin and alpha-1-antichymotrypsin and negative for CGA, epithelial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPT n=5</th>
<th>Age/ Gender</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Macroscopy</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt 1</td>
<td>47 y/f</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>US/CT/MRI</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Encapsulated cystic tumor with hemorrhagic, friable content</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic necrosis, solid pseudopapillary pseudorosettes</td>
<td>Eosinophilic cytoplasm, uniform appearance, low-grade atypia, intracellular hyaline globules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt 2</td>
<td>28 y/f</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>US/CT/MRI</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Encapsulated tumor with hemorrhagic cystic and yellowish solid areas</td>
<td>Solid hemorrhagic pseudocystic pseudopapillary pseudorosettes</td>
<td>Eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm, uniform appearance, intracellular hyaline globules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt 3</td>
<td>28 y/f</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>US/CT/MRI</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Encapsulated tumor with cystic and solid areas</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic necrosis, pseudopapillary pseudorosettes</td>
<td>Clear cytoplasm, uniform appearance, intracellular hyaline globules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt 4</td>
<td>29 y/f</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>CT/MRI/PET</td>
<td>Whipple’s procedure</td>
<td>Circumscribed brown-yellow tumor with solid and friable areas</td>
<td>Solid pseudopapillary pseudorosettes</td>
<td>Eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm, intracellular hyaline globules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt 5</td>
<td>13 y/f</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>MRI/EUS</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Encapsulated tumor with microcystic cut surface</td>
<td>Solid pseudopapillary pseudorosettes</td>
<td>Eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt. Patient; SPT, solid pseudopapillary tumor; HPFs, high-power fields; US, ultrasonography; CT, coxial tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; LN, lymph node; PET, positron emission tomography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; f, female; y, years; m, months.
membrane antigen and cytokeratine. The presence of the CTNNB1 molecular marker along the absence of KRAS, GNAS, RNF43 and LOH on chromosome 18 are reported to be a useful stand-alone diagnostic tool but also useful when used in conjunction with specific clinical markers (27).

Most of the patients present with atypical symptoms, including abdominal discomfort, mild abdominal pain, increased abdominal girth, poor appetite and nausea, all related to tumor compression on the adjacent organs (23, 28). Atypical clinical presentation is also reported by all our patients, with the majority reporting abdominal discomfort and one reporting irradiation of the abdominal pain to the shoulder and upper right extremity. Acute abdomen can also occur caused by tumor rupture. Physical examination is often normal apart from the presence of an upper abdominal mass. When a palpable mass is presented, the average size of the tumor becomes quite remarkable reaching 8 to 10 cm in diameter, something seen in the reported patients in our manuscript (28, 29). SPTs have not been associated with specific clinical laboratory test findings, including tumor markers (30, 31).

Despite the locally aggressive features, the tumor has a low-grade malignant potential and tends to have a favorable prognosis, even in the presence of metastatic disease (29, 31-33). Common sites of metastasis are liver, peritoneum, omentum and regional lymph nodes (1). The majority of metastatic patients are male. The correlation between tumor size and malignant potential is controversial (29, 30) and the blood vessels' invasion, high degree of nuclear atypia, high mitotic count and presence of large necrotic clusters are considered criteria of high malignancy potential. Nishihara et al. demonstrated aneuploidy in patients with metastases, whereas patients without any sign of malignancy showed diploidy (9).

Our patients presented with SPT of dimensions close to what the literature reports and excised lymph nodes were negative for tumor cells, despite the necrotic lesions within the mass. Invasion of large blood vessels was present in two of our cases.

Morphology of SPT on US, CT or MRI is usually a well-defined large mass that can be predominantly either a thick-walled cystic structure or a solid mass with cystic components. On US, the tumor is a well-defined hypoechoic solid mass, a solid mass with cystic areas or a cystic mass with semi-circularly calcified lesions. Contrast-enhanced CT plays a major role in the diagnostic evaluation of cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. CT usually demonstrates a well-encapsulated heterogeneous lesion with varying solid and cystic components. However, when compared with the MRI, CT has inherent limitations in showing certain tissue characteristics, such as hemorrhage, cystic degeneration or the presence of capsule. MRI has improved our ability to diagnose SPT due to its superior contrast resolution. On MRI, high signal intensity areas are observed in areas of hemorrhagic content. The capsule is usually identified as a thin hypo-intense rim. MRI may also provide information about the resectability of the tumor, something of great importance for proper patient management (34).

Imaging findings in all of our cases were consistent with the above-described morphology of SPT, allowing our team to easily set the right diagnosis.

Echo-endosonography gives the ability of fine-needle puncture biopsy and, therefore, setting a correct preoperative pathologic diagnosis of tumor (35). Angiography, although not routinely indicated, may demonstrate scarce or lack of blood vessels in the pancreatic tumor and help delineate the mass from other involved and adjacent structures (4). Barium meal examinations usually show deformity of the stomach, enlargement of the duodenal loop and narrowing of the duodenal lumen, caused by external pressure from the mass on the organs’ wall; these findings, however, are not pathognomonic for setting the diagnosis. In that sense, there is actually no place for barium meal in the preoperative management of these lesions.

Serous microcystic adenoma, mucinous cystic neoplasm, cystic islet cell tumor, pancreaticoblastoma and calcified hemorrhagic pseudocyst are differential diagnostic considerations when a pancreatic mass consists of cystic and solid components (3, 30). Immunohistology and molecular markers can assist in reaching the diagnosis (27).

Regarding treatment, complete surgical excision is curative in more than 95% of patients with SPT that is limited to pancreas. Very small tumors could be enucleated. For larger lesions the type of surgical resection depends on their location. Lesions in the head of pancreas are best treated with a pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure), while these located in the body and tail are best treated with a distal pancreatectomy. For lesions located in the neck of pancreas, a sparing parenchyma technique like central pancreatectomy may be considered. Such a procedure has the advantage of preserving both the endocrine and exocrine pancreatic function. On the other hand, it is technically demanding and associated with a significantly high complication rate, such as pancreatic leak (36).

Kim and co-authors recently identified tumor size larger than 5 cm as a predictive factor of high grade malignancy. As such, they proposed that patients with an SPT larger than 5 cm should undergo complete surgical resection with a formal lymph node dissection (37). Of note, long-term survival is possible even in patients with metastatic disease involving the liver and/or peritoneum. Local invasion, recurrence or limited metastases are not contraindications to resection. For metastases, a general consensus exists that surgical debulking should be performed, which is in direct contrast to oncology principles applied to other pancreatic malignancies. To date, there is limited experience regarding chemotherapy and radiotherapy with or without the presence
of metastatic disease. Radiotherapy has been suggested in cases of unresectable SPTs, as these tumors appear to be radiosensitive (38).

In our cohort, all patients underwent surgical resection and, in some cases, additional splenectomy. All five patients were not advised to undergo further oncological treatment as sufficient evidence of efficacy does not exist.

Lastly, during pregnancy, SPT is extremely rare and the diagnosis can be difficult to reach as SPT’s clinical presentation resembles hyperemesis gravidarum. A number of other more common pregnancy disorders have to be excluded before a physician should consider SPT as a possibility (39). Safe monitoring of the tumor growth rate and the possibility of rupture is suggested by some authors (40). Others support surgical excision of the SPT, since this tumor is responsive to progesterone blood levels (39, 41, 42). Proper imaging for monitoring during pregnancy can be difficult to obtain and only US and MRI scans (without gadolinium intravenous contrast administration) should be requested as they are reported to be safe for the fetus. However, there remains no consensus on how best to proceed with pregnant SPT patients.

Conclusion

The overall prognosis of SPT of the pancreas is very good, due to its favorable biological features. These tumors usually affect women in the second or third decade of their life, but other age groups can be affected as well. Clinical diagnosis is impossible as presentation may resemble a number of different pathologies. On the contrary, diagnosis can be based upon proper medical imaging as the SPT morphology is typical in the majority of cases. Prognosis is generally favorable. Although surgical resection is frequently curative and considered the therapeutic method of choice, a close follow-up is advised in order to early diagnose possible local recurrence or distal metastasis and choose for a specific therapeutic scheme for the respective patient.
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