Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Stimulation Promotes Anti-cell Proliferative Activity in Doxorubicin-treated Mouse Osteosarcoma Cells

YOSHITAKA MURAMATSU¹, TAKUYA MATSUI², MASATAKA DEIE¹ and KEIJI SATO¹

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Aichi Medical University School of Medicine Nagakute, Aichi, Japan
²Department of Physiology, Aichi Medical University School of Medicine Nagakute, Aichi, Japan

Abstract. Aim: We aimed to investigate the synergistic effects of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) and doxorubicin therapy in a mouse osteosarcoma cell line (LM8 cells) in vitro. Materials and Methods: The effects of PEMF (5 mT, 200 Hz) of different durations and doxorubicin on the proliferative activity of LM8 cells were measured by the MTT assay. Apoptotic-related factors such as cell-cycle phase, mitochondrial membrane potential, and caspase 3/7 activity were investigated using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining and apoptosis kits. Identification of intracellular signaling molecules induced by the combination was comprehensively explored using a stress and apoptosis-related protein array kit. Results: PEMF enhanced the inhibition of cell proliferation mediated by doxorubicin but did not affect the cell cycle, mitochondrial membrane potential, or doxorubicin-induced G2/M arrest. The combination of PEMF and doxorubicin altered a few signaling molecules. PEMF tended to reduce the doxorubicin-induced decrease of phosphorylated BAD, while reducing the increased expression of total IĸB and phosphorylated-CHK1 induced by doxorubicin. Conclusion: Our results indicate that combination of PEMF and doxorubicin could be a novel chemotherapeutic strategy.

Previous reports have investigated the promotion of bone formation via electrical stimulation with direct current, alternating current, and electromagnetic field stimulation (1-4). Jansen JH et al. revealed that pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF)-induced human osteogenic differentiation was unrelated to the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in an in vitro study (5). Although the mechanism of bone healing by PEMF is not clear, PEMF instruments are commercially available for bone fracture treatment. Additionally, it is predicted that PEMF could be applicable to cancer therapy. An increasing number of reports indicate that PEMF affects intracellular signaling molecules and invasion factors in various cancer types, such as chondrosarcoma, neuroblastoma, and breast cancer cells (6-8). Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignant bone tumor. The current standard for neoadjuvant chemotherapy for patients with osteosarcoma is doxorubicin-based combination chemotherapy. However, to our knowledge, there are no reports on PEMF and doxorubicin combination therapy for osteosarcoma.

We previously showed that PEMF stimulation regulates cell growth and doxorubicin-induced cytotoxicity effects in multidrug-resistant tumor cells that express P-glycoproteins (9). Furthermore, we have been investigating the mechanisms of anticancer effects induced by PEMF. We obtained evidence that PEMF does not affect adhesion molecules, such as integrin, and regulates the expression of some transient receptor potential genes (10, 11). However, the effects of PEMF alone against osteosarcoma are not fully understood.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the synergistic effect of PEMF and doxorubicin and seek apoptotic-related factors.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and culture. Mouse osteosarcoma cell line LM8 was obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources cell bank (Osaka, Japan), and maintained in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (Gibco Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin (Gibco), and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Gibco) at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

PEMF-generating system. The PEMF was generated using a PEMF stimulator system (RIKEN Model 021A; Satelit, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 1). The experimental conditions were according to a report by Zhang et al. (10).
Cell proliferation assays. LM8 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 2×10^4 cells per well. After overnight incubation, cells were treated with PEMF (5 mT, 200Hz) for 1 and 12h or following 24h of culture with/without doxorubicin (Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Tokyo, Japan) at defined concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 5, 50 μg/ml). WST-8 Reagent (Cell Counting kit; Dojindo Lab., Tokyo, Japan) was added to each well and cells were further cultured for 2 h according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance in conditioned medium was monitored at 490 nm using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Half maximal (50%) of inhibitory concentrations (IC50) values were calculated using Softmax Pro6 (Molecular Devices). The untreated cells as control were defined as 100%. These measurements were performed in five independent.

Uptake of doxorubicin. LM8 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 3×10^5 cells. After overnight incubation, doxorubicin (Kyowa Hakko Kirin) at 0.5 μg/ml, which was defined using the results of IC50, was added to each well. Treated cells were stimulated with/without PEMF for 1, and 12 h following 24 h of culture. LM8 cells in 6-well plates were collected with trypsin, and doxorubicin uptake was assayed by flow cytometry. In briefly, the collected cells were fixed with 10% formalin buffer. And the intranuclear fluorescence intensity of doxorubicin was measured by a FACS Canto II with 488-nm excitation. The untreated cells as reference control were defined as baseline levels. These measurements were performed in five independent.

Analysis of cell cycle. Cells were seeded at 3×10^5 cells/well in 6-well plates. After culturing the cells after 1 and 12 h of PEMF, cells were trypsinized from the plate, fixed in 70% ethanol, and treated with 0.5% ribonuclease for 30 min. DNA was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 1.2 μM) for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Flow cytometry and cell cycle analysis were performed using a FACS Canto II with 488-nm excitation. At least 10,000 gated cells were examined per cycle. The untreated cells were defined as reference control. These measurements were performed in five independent.

Measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential. LM8 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 4×10^4 cells per well. After overnight incubation, doxorubicin (0.5 μg/ml) was added to the cells and they were immediately treated with PEMF for 1 and 12 h. The kinetics of the mitochondrial membrane potential in the cells were measured using a membrane potential cytotoxicity kit (Mito-ID; Enzo Life Science International, PA, USA) and observed by fluorescence microscopy (IX73; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The untreated cells were defined as reference control. These measurements were performed in five independent.

Measurement of caspase 3/7 activities. LM8 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 4×10^4 cells per well. After overnight incubation, doxorubicin (0.5 μg/ml) was applied to cells and they were immediately treated with PEMF for 1 and 12 h. After treatment, cells were incubated with Fluo-4 solution (Fluo-4 MW calcium assay kit; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in Hanks' balanced salt solution buffer for 30 min at 37°C. The cytosol Ca2+ concentrations were measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices). The untreated cells were defined as reference control. These measurements were performed in five independent.

Screening intracellular signaling molecules. LM8 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 4×10^4 cells per well. After overnight incubation, doxorubicin (0.5 μg/ml) was applied to the cells and they were immediately treated with PEMF for 12 h. Trypsinized cells were rinsed with cold phosphate-buffered saline and immediately solubilized in cell lysate buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Sandhofer Str. Germany) and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride at 1 mM (Sigma-Aldrich) by blocking the lysates gently at 4°C for 30 min. Following microcentrifugation at 14,000 x g for 5 min, supernatants were transferred into a clean test tube and sample proteins (1.0 mg/ml) were diluted and incubated with the PathScan Stress and Apoptosis Signaling Antibody Array Kit (Cell Signaling Technology) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The expressed dots were visualized using LumiGlo reagent and detected by the ImageQuant LAS-4000 (GE Healthcare Co. Wauwatosa, WI, USA). Dot densities were measured using Image J (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) and corrected to the relative density of α-tubulin. The untreated cells were defined as reference control. These measurements were performed in four independent.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney U-test to compare the data against negative controls using SPSS version 22 (IBM., Armonk, NY, USA). Results are expressed as means±S.D. p-Values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Antiproliferative effects of the combination of PEMF and doxorubicin. The possibility of PEMF affecting the intracellular microenvironment of LM8 cells has been previously raised (10, 12); thus, we evaluated whether PEMF would accelerate the pharmacological activity of doxorubicin. In this study, the doxorubicin-treated cells immediately after PEMF stimulation for 1 h did not change regarding cell proliferation. Thus, this IC50 was not clear. While, the antiproliferative effects of the cells stimulated with PEMF for 1 h did not change regarding cell proliferation. This indicated that PEMF promoted the uptake of doxorubicin, hence we investigated the effects of
doxorubicin with/without PEMF on the cell cycle. Stimulation with PEMF alone for 12 h reduced the population of cells at S-phase, while doxorubicin induced G2/M arrest at 12 h, but not at 1 h (Figure 2B). However, cell treatment with a combination of PEMF and doxorubicin did not alter the cell-cycle distribution, similarly to cells treated with doxorubicin alone.

We investigated whether the combination of PEMF and doxorubicin induced apoptosis. First, we measured the mitochondrial membrane potential, which are involved in regulation of [Ca2+]i and apoptosis. Neither PEMF nor doxorubicin significantly altered the mitochondrial membrane potential at 1 h or 12 h (Figure 2C).

**Intracellular apoptosis molecules.** We investigated whether the antiproliferative activity of PEMF and doxorubicin combined was linked to intracellular molecules. We focused on a key player Ca2+. The level of intracellular Ca2+, [Ca2+]i at 1 and 12 h after PEMF treatment, alone and in combination with doxorubicin, was significantly higher in comparison with non-treated cells. However, the [Ca2+]i level of cells treated with doxorubicin alone was practically the same as that of non-treated cells. Thus, changes in [Ca2+]i were caused by PEMF but not by doxorubicin (Figure 3A). Furthermore, we determined the activity of caspase 3/7, which is an apoptotic indicator. Treatment of LM8 cells with doxorubicin alone for 24 h significantly enhanced caspase 3/7 activity, while PEMF alone had no effect. Interestingly, PEMF stimulation significantly reduced the enhancement of caspase 3/7 activity by doxorubicin at 24 h (Figure 3B).

**Comprehensive analysis of intracellular signaling molecules.** We explored other intracellular signaling molecules in addition to Ca2+ and caspase 3/7 using protein arrays (Figure 4A). Doxorubicin treatment alone significantly enhanced the levels of total IĸB and phosphorylated CHK1, and significantly reduced the levels of phosphorylated AKT and BAD in LM8 cells. Moreover, PEMF alone tended to reduce the levels of phosphorylated-AKT, -BAD and – transforming growth factor β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) that is defined as mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase that can be activated by TGF-β. PEMF and doxorubicin co-treatment further tended to reduce the levels of phosphorylated BAD compared with doxorubicin or PEMF alone. In addition, PEMF decreased the up-regulated levels of total IĸB and phosphorylated CHK1 mediated by doxorubicin (Figure 4B).

### Table 1. Half-maximal (50%) of inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀) for doxorubicin (DOX) for combination of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) and doxorubicin (DOX) treatment of LM8 cells. The IC₅₀ was investigated immediately and at 24 h after pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) stimulation for 1 and 12 h. The data represent the means±S.D. of four independent experiments. *p<0.05 vs. Dox-treated non-PEMF-treated cells at each times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>IC₅₀ DOX (μg/ml)</th>
<th>Immediately after PEMF stimulation</th>
<th>24 h after PEMF stimulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX alone</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>1.510±0.250</td>
<td>0.773±0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX+PEMF</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0.655±0.095*</td>
<td>0.753±0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Generating apparatus.**

**Figure 2.**

- **Figure 2A.** Generating apparatus.
- **Figure 2B.** Stimulation with PEMF alone for 12 h reduced the population of cells at S-phase, while doxorubicin induced G2/M arrest at 12 h, but not at 1 h. However, cell treatment with a combination of PEMF and doxorubicin did not alter the cell-cycle distribution, similarly to cells treated with doxorubicin alone.

**Figure 3.**

- **Figure 3A.** Neither PEMF nor doxorubicin significantly altered the mitochondrial membrane potential at 1 h or 12 h.
- **Figure 3B.** Treatment of LM8 cells with doxorubicin alone for 24 h significantly enhanced caspase 3/7 activity, while PEMF alone had no effect. Interestingly, PEMF stimulation significantly reduced the enhancement of caspase 3/7 activity by doxorubicin at 24 h.

**Figure 4.**

- **Figure 4A.** Comprehensive analysis of intracellular signaling molecules. We explored other intracellular signaling molecules in addition to Ca2+ and caspase 3/7 using protein arrays.
- **Figure 4B.** Doxorubicin treatment alone significantly enhanced the levels of total IĸB and phosphorylated CHK1, and significantly reduced the levels of phosphorylated AKT and BAD in LM8 cells. Moreover, PEMF alone tended to reduce the levels of phosphorylated-AKT, -BAD and – transforming growth factor β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) that is defined as mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase that can be activated by TGF-β. PEMF and doxorubicin co-treatment further tended to reduce the levels of phosphorylated BAD compared with doxorubicin or PEMF alone. In addition, PEMF decreased the up-regulated levels of total IĸB and phosphorylated CHK1 mediated by doxorubicin.
Figure 2. Biological effects of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) and doxorubicin (DOX) on LM8 cells. A: PEMF tended to promote DOX uptake for 12 h. B: The cell populations at different cell-cycle phases after treatment of LM8 cells with the combination of PEMF and DOX, PEMF alone, and DOX alone in LM8 cells. Cells were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole solution after fixation by 70% ethanol, and analyzed by flow cytometry. C: The fluorescence intensities reflecting mitochondrial membrane potential were evaluated after treatment of LM8 cells with a combination of PEMF and DOX, PEMF alone, and DOX alone. The data represent the means±S.D. of five independent experiments.
Discussion

Doxorubicin has been used as therapy for osteosarcoma since the 1970s (13-15) and subsequently was included in T10 (16, 17) and T12 protocols (18), which are combinations of various anticancer drugs. In Japan, neoadjuvant chemotherapy for osteosarcoma using the above protocols has led to dramatic improvements in the survival rate (19). However, recurrences, metastasis, and drug resistance often occur. It is hoped that a novel therapeutic approach can be developed to improve such poor prognosis. A clinical trial has reported that caffeine-potentiated chemotherapy prolongs the survival of patients with osteosarcoma with pulmonary metastasis (20). Another study revealed that valproic acid, which is a histone deacetylase inhibitor, enhances doxorubicin-induced cytotoxicity in canine osteosarcomas (21, 22). We previously reported that PEMF enhances doxorubicin-uptake and induces cytotoxic effects on bovine aortic endothelial cells (12). We believe that a combination of low-intensity PEMF instead of pharmaceutical preparations, such as caffeine and anticancer drugs, might allow use of lower doses of general anticancer drugs. This study aimed to investigate new developments in therapies for osteosarcoma and investigated the synergistic effects of PEMF and doxorubicin.

The IC_{50} for combined PEMF and doxorubicin was about 2-fold lower than that of doxorubicin alone, suggesting that PEMF enhanced the antiproliferative activity of doxorubicin. Thus, in support of our previous report (9), which showed PEMF reduced the cell proliferation of another mouse osteosarcoma cell line (Dunn), this study showed that PEMF and doxorubicin appear to have synergistic antiproliferative effect, at least in mouse osteosarcoma. Loja et al. reported that PEMF at the same intensity as that in our study slightly increased cell proliferation in colorectal and ductal carcinoma (Colo-320DM and ZR-75-1) cell lines (23). The discrepancies between our findings and the former reports might depend on the type of cells used.

We hypothesized that the synergistic effect revealed was involved in doxorubicin-derived apoptosis. Firstly, we investigated the effects of PEMF and doxorubicin co-treatment on the cell cycle. Unfortunately, PEMF did not alter the population of cells at G2/M mediated by doxorubicin, which agrees with the report by Fan et al. (24), and indicates that PEMF does not have a synergistic effect on the cell cycle. Next, we investigated the effect on the mitochondrial membrane potential, which is a general apoptosis index, and found that potential did not change after 1 or 12 h of stimulation. The combination of PEMF and doxorubicin raises the possibility that another mechanism excluding the mitochondrial pathway is involved.

Some studies have investigated the relationship between PEMF stimulation and intracellular signaling molecules. The kinetics of \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) levels under treatment with PEMF have been presented in many reports, and many researchers have focused on undifferentiated cells such as mesenchymal stem cells (5, 25, 26). The findings show that PEMF increases the \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) level via L-type voltage \(Ca^{2+}\) channels (27, 28). Our results were also in agreement with these of previous studies. Immediately after stimulation with PEMF alone, \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) levels were up-regulated; however, the mechanism behind this

Figure 3. Intracellular apoptosis molecules. A: The kinetics of intracellular \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) level after treatment of LM8 cells with a combination of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) and doxorubicin (DOX). The fluorescence intensities were evaluated treatment of LM8 cells with PEMF and DOX, individually and in combination. B: Caspase 3/7 activity in LM8 cells after treatment of PEMF and DOX, PEMF alone, and DOX alone evaluated via luminescence intensity. The data represent means±S.D. of five independent experiments. *p<0.05 vs. doxorubicin alone or untreated control.
Figure 4. Comprehensive intracellular signaling molecules analysis. A: The stress and apoptosis signaling protein arrays after treatment of LM8 cells with combination of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) and doxorubicin (DOX), PEMF alone, and DOX alone. Of 13 signaling molecules that react to mouse cell lines, six were well recognized. B: The relative densities of the spots of signaling molecules were calculated against α-tubulin. The data represent the means of four independent experiments.
PEMF-regulated $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ system remains unknown. Moreover, treatment with doxorubicin alone did not alter the level of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, and the variation in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ level appears to be strongly dependent on PEMF but not on doxorubicin. In any case, this result suggests that the anti-proliferative activity of PEMF and doxorubicin combined is associated with apoptosis and leads to higher $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ levels.

Next, we focused on the activity of caspase 3/7, which is an key apoptosis molecule. PEMF for 24 h moderately inhibited the enhancement of caspase 3/7 activity induced by doxorubicin, although PEMF alone had no effect, which is similar to the results of a neuronal cellular model treated with PEMF (8). Since PEMF and doxorubicin co-treatment for 12 hours showed a slight-increment in caspase 3/7 activity, this combination might have the potential to enhance caspase 3/7 activities between 12 h and 24 h after the start of treatment, although these findings do not exclude the possibility of suppression by PEMF. Our findings potentially favor the report by Reihani Kermani et al., which showed that a low frequency of PEMF increases caspase 3 expression in intervertebral discs (29). The antiproliferative effects of PEMF and doxorubicin combined appear to involve caspase 3/7 activity.

In undifferentiated cells, such as bone marrow-derived stromal cells, it is reported that PEMF alone does not affect ERK1/2 phosphorylation (5). Conversely, in cancer cell lines, Sylvester et al. indicated that the expression of both phosphorylated MAPK-kinase-ERK kinase (MEK) that is defined as dual-specificity protein kinases that function in a mitogen activated protein kinase cascade controlling cell growth and differentiation and phosphorylated ERK was enhanced in epithelial CL-S1 cells by PEMF (30). Another report showed that PEMF regulates Bcl2 and Aif mRNA in monocytic MonoMac6 cell line (31, 32). Thus, the induction of expression of intracellular signaling molecules by PEMF is poorly understood, although the results might depend on the type of cells investigated. At the present time, the intracellular signaling molecules affected by PEMF and doxorubicin co-treatment in osteosarcoma cells remain unclear. We explored ways to identify these molecules and carried out comprehensive analysis using a stress and apoptosis signaling array. PEMF enhanced the reduction of phosphorylated BAD by doxorubicin, and weakly inhibited the enhanced phosphorylation of CHK1, p53 and total IκB expression mediated by doxorubicin. Our results show that the reduction of phosphorylated BAD expression by PEMF and doxorubicin combined was partially linked to the inhibition of cell growth. In addition, doxorubicin is known to up-regulate p65 NFκB activity (33, 34), but not affect apoptotic target genes. Since p65 NFκB activity is closely linked to IκB, our preliminary experiments investigated the effects on proliferation by doxorubicin and p65 NFκB inhibitor dehydroxymethylepoxyquinomicin (DHMEQ) in LM8 cells. DHMEQ enhanced the anti-proliferative activity of doxorubicin (data not shown). This phenomenon perhaps partially reveals the outcome of a combination of PEMF and doxorubicin, such as the effect on total IκB expression.

In conclusion, a recent report showed that a combination of cisplatin and PEMF inhibited the growth of mouse melanoma B16F10 cells (35), while another study reported that PEMF protected puromycin-induced U937 cell death, depending on cell density (36). Although reports on combined anticancer drug and PEMF therapies are scarce, a combination of PEMF and doxorubicin appears to affect osteosarcoma cells. This combination could serve as a novel therapeutic strategy against osteosarcoma.
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