# Characteristics of Patients with a Retained Wireless Capsule Endoscope (WCE) Necessitating Laparotomy for Removal of the Capsule

MARTIN PURDY<sup>1</sup>, MARKKU HEIKKINEN<sup>2</sup>, PETRI JUVONEN<sup>1</sup>, MARKKU VOUTILAINEN<sup>3</sup> and MATTI ESKELINEN<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Surgery and <sup>2</sup>Gastroenterology, Kuopio University Hospital and School of Medicine, University of Eastern Finland; <sup>3</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Central Hospital of Central Finland, Jyväskylä, Finland

**Abstract.** Aim: A capsule endoscope is a wireless miniature camera used to take images of the small bowel mucosa. Retention of the wireless capsule endoscope (WCE), defined as at least two weeks' retention or an obstruction demanding removal by laparotomy, is the main and practically only complication of the procedure. The aim of this study was to evaluate the characteristics of patients with a retained WCE necessitating laparotomy for removal of the capsule or capsule fragments. Patients and Methods: The medical records of 555 patients who had undergone the WCE procedure over a 7-year period (2002-2008) were reviewed. The indications for the WCE procedure were, obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, Crohn's disease, abdominal pain and suspicion of malignancy. Results: A retained WCE requiring operative treatment was found in 10 cases (in nine patients, twice in one patient). The WCE retention frequency of 1.8% (10/555) equalled that in the literature. Conclusion: The retention rate of WCE capsules is low and routine examination of the small bowel with MRI or CT is not necessary before WCE. These examinations were enable to predict WCE retention according to our results.

As an addition to traditional flexible and rigid endoscopes, the concept of wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE) was first introduced in 1999 by Iddan *et al.* (1) and WCE has become accepted as the standard in patients with obscure gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding after negative endoscopies.

Correspondence to: Matti Eskelinen, MD, Ph.D., Department of Surgery, Kuopio University Hospital, PL 1777, 70211 Kuopio, Finland, Tel +358 17173311, Fax +358 17172611, mobile +358 400969444, e-mail: matti.eskelinen@kuh.fi

Key Words: Endocapsule, retention, laparotomy.

WCE represents fundamental progress in non-invasive imaging of the GI tract, particularly the small intestine, associated with efficacy, the obviation of need for open surgery and favourable diagnostic accuracy (2, 3, 4). Most WCE examinations are carried out for obscure GI bleeding after negative endoscopies and to diagnose suspected Crohn's disease and its response to modern medical treatment.

The WCE retention defined as at least two weeks' retention or an obstruction demanding an operation in the small bowel is the most frequent complication of capsule endoscopic procedure. Aspiration of the capsule with accompanying dyspnoea and hypoxia is another reported complication, which necessitates retrieval by way of bronchoscopy. In everyday practice, the overall incidence of WCE retention is estimated to be rather low (1-2%), but the real frequency remains poorly defined (4, 5). The present retrospective study was undertaken to evaluate the characteristics of the patients with a retained WCE necessitating laparotomy for removal.

### **Patients and Methods**

The medical records of all WCE (Given, Given Imaging Ltd, Yogneam, Israel) procedures between January 2002 and December 2008 in Kuopio University Hospital were reviewed. All the WCE procedures were carried out in Kuopio University hospital, which is a referral centre for four Central Hospitals (Joensuu, Jyväskylä, Mikkeli and Savonlinna) and two District Hospitals (Iisalmi and Varkaus).

In preparation for WCE, a 12-hour fast was required, after which a single smooth plastic capsule was swallowed with water. Bowel preparations and prokinetic drug usage to improve the visualization and rate of capsule passage are currently under discussion, and were inconsistently applied. The patients were allowed to ingest clear liquids two hours after intake of the capsule and were allowed to eat a very light meal after four hours. As the capsule travels from the mouth to the anus by peristalsis, the patient was free to conduct his or her daily activities.

Table I. Characteristics of patients with WCE retention necessitating laparotomy for removal of the capsule.

No. of patient	Age	Gender	Indication for WCE	Cause of WCE	Site of retention	Previous surgery	Previous radio therapy	Previous enterography
1* A	74	F	Anemia,	Crohn	?	-	-	-
1 B			activity of Crohn	Crohn	?	1	-	-
2	69	F	Anemia	NSAID - enteropathy	Jejunoileal	-	-	Normal
3	76	F	Obstructive symptoms	Radiation induced stricture	Ileum	1	Yes	Normal
4	65	F	Anemia	Metastatic tumors	Ileum	1	-	-
5	62	F	Anemia	Tumor carsinoides	Ileum	-	-	Distal ileitis
6	45	F	Activity of Crohn	Crohn		-	-	Normal
7	60	M	Anemia	Crohn	Jejunum	4	-	-
8	39	M	Activity of Crohn	Crohn	Ileocolic anastomosis	3	-	-
9	31	M	Crohn suspicion	Crohn	Jejunoileal	-	-	Crohn suspicion

WCE: Wireless capsule endoscope; \*WCE retention twice in same patient.

#### Results

The capsule retention rate was 1.8% (10/555). Three patients with capsule retention were male and six female, and retention occurred twice in one patient (Table I). The mean age (SD, range) was 57.9 years (19.9 years, 31-76 years). Five out of the ten capsule endoscopies were conducted for anaemia and 4/10 for Crohn's disease. Out of the total of 555 patients, anaemia (47.2%) and Crohn's disease or its suspicion (45.0%) were the main indications. When Crohn's disease activity was to be clarified, capsule retention occurred in 3 out of 41 examinations (7.3%).

Stricture localization if mentioned (8/10) was in the distal ileum. Twice a neoplasia and once a strictured ileocolic anastomosis were involved. Three out of the nine patients had strictures in the jejunal section. Five patients had undergone abdominal operations in the past, one patient had undergone three and an other patient four operations altogether. Only one patient had a radiation-induced stricture. One patient had both pyloric stenosis and 16 strictures in the jejunoileal region suggesting nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) – enteropathy (Table I).

Previous computed tomography (CT)-enterography had been carried out in four cases and one magnetic resonance impedance (MRI)-enterography. They were considered normal in three patients.

Crohn's disease caused the retention in six out of the ten incidents. Two new cases of Crohn's disease and two

malignancies were found among these nine operated patients. To date, no endocapsules have been extracted with an endoscope in our hospital.

#### Discussion

WCE imaging was approved in 2001 by the FDA for the evaluation of occult GI bleeding and chronic unexplained GI blood loss. Since then, the use of WCE has been extended to the evaluation of Crohn's disease and its response to medical treatment, suspicion of malignancy or tumour recurrence, surveillance of inherited polyposis syndromes, celiac disease, NSAID-enteropathy, anaemia and unexplained chronic abdominal pain.

In agreement with previous reports, the present study revealed a low WCE retention rate, and in the literature, the WCE retention rates are 0% for healthy volunteers (6), 0-6.2% for obscure GI bleeding (4, 7), 4-8% in patients with diagnosed Crohn's disease (4, 8), 0-5% in patients with suspected Crohn's disease (4, 9), and 2.5-5% in patients with hereditary familial polyposis syndromes (4, 10); from all indications, incidence ranged from 0% to 10% depending on the patient selection and the design of the study performed (4, 7, 10, 11). WCE retention has also been reported rarely in both Meckel's diverticulum (12) and Zenker's diverticulum (13). A total of 11 reports (14, 16-25) of 74 capsule retentions necessitating laparotomy for removal were found in the literature (Table II).

Table II. Previous reports of WCE retention necessitating laparotomy for removal of the capsule or capsule fragments.

Author (ref)	Perioperative status					
	Malignancy	Crohn	NSAID- enteropathy	Postradiation/ postoperative stenosis	Tuberculosis	Ischaemia
Enns et al. 2004 (17)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fry et al. 2005 (18)	-	-	1	-	-	-
Rondonotti et al. 2005 (19)	3	5	2	3	-	1
Baichi et al. 2006 (20)	1	2	?	1	-	-
Cheifetz and Lewis 2006 (21)	1	7	1	1	-	-
Magdeburg et al. 2006 (22)	-	1	-	-	-	-
Agaba et al. 2007 (23)	2	?	?	1	-	-
Bai et al. 2007 (24)	-	1	-	-	-	-
Cheon et al. 2007 (14)	4	16	1	2	3	-
Li et al. 2008 (16)	2	-	11	-	-	-
Kelley and Lohr 2009 (25)	-	-	-	1	-	-
Total 74 (%)	13 (18%)	32 (44.5%)	16 (20.5%)	9 (12%)	3 (3.6%)	1 (1.2%)

NA: Not available.

Once a wireless capsule is retained, conservative, endoscopic or surgical intervention resolves the complication. In the majority of cases, WCE retention runs asymptomatically and a symptomless WCE retention may be followed by plain x-ray radiography when waiting for a spontaneous passage. A 'wait and see strategy' is often followed, especially in cases where WCE retention occurs in the distal small bowel or non endoscopically-accessible area. In some such cases, the use of a double-balloon enteroscope has proved valuable (4, 15). Where inflammation was the cause of obstruction, as in inflammatory bowel disease, steroid therapy resulted in spontaneous capsule passage in about half of these cases (11).

Patients with obstructive symptoms need more strict follow-up and when the WCE retention causes pain, surgical intervention should be prompt. When surgical intervention is unavoidable, a previous history of radiation therapy or abdominal operation suggests a benign stricture. The possibility of a malignancy should be considered, while in Western countries, intestinal tuberculosis, accounting for 9.4% in Korean material (14), can usually be excluded.

NSAID enteropathy, also known as diaphragm disease, although found in 11 out of 14 American patients, is seldom reported and is difficult to find in enterography because these diaphragmatic strictures are usually thin and resemble exaggerated *plicae circularis*. Diagnosis is best achieved by comparison of the microscopical findings of nonspecific inflammatory changes with anamnesis of NSAID usage.

In conclusion, the WCE retention rate is low and the routine examination of the small bowel with MRI or CT is not necessary before WCE. These examinations were unable to predict a WCE retention according to our results.

## References

- 1 Iddan G, Meron G, Glukhovsky A and Swain P: Wireless capsule endoscopy. Nature 405: 417, 2000.
- 2 Rösch T: DDW reports 2003 Orlando: capsule endoscopy. Endoscopy 35: 816-822, 2003.
- 3 Hartmann D, Schilling D, Bolz G and Riemann JF: Capsule endoscopy, technical impact, benefits and limitations. Langenbecks Arch Surg 389: 225-233, 2004.
- 4 Karagiannis S, Faiss S and Mavrogiannis C: Capsule retention: a feared complication of wireless capsule endoscopy. Scand J Gastroenterology 44: 1158-1165, 2009.
- 5 Cave D, Legnani P, de Franchis R and Lewis BS: ICCE: ICCE consensus for capsule retention. Endoscopy 37: 1065-1067, 2005.
- 6 Eliakim AR: Video capsule endoscopy of the small bowel (PillCam SB). Curr Opin Gastroenterol 22: 124-127, 2006.
- 7 Pennazio M, Santucci R, Rondotti E, Abbiati C, Beccari G, Rossini FP and De Franchis R: Outcome of patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding after capsule endoscopy: report of 100 consecutive cases. Gastroenterology 126: 643-653, 2004.
- 8 Mow WS, Lo SK, Targan SR, Dubinsky MC, Treyzon L, Abreu-Martin MT, Papadakis KA and Vasiliauskas EA: Initial experience with wireless capsule endoscopy in the diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2: 31-40, 2004.
- 9 Schulmann K, Hollerbach S and Schmiegel W: Diagnosing small bowel Crohn's disease with wireless capsule endoscopy. Gut 52: 1531-1532, 2003.
- 10 Burke CA, Santisi J, Church J and Levinthal G: The utility of capsule endoscopy small bowel surveillance in patients with polyposis. Am J Gastroenterol 100: 1498-1502, 2005.
- 11 Sears DM, Avots-Avotins A, Culp K and Gavin MW: Frequency and clinical outcome of capsule retention during capsule endoscopy for GI bleeding of obscure origin. Gastroint Endosc 60: 822-827, 2004.

- 12 Gortzak Y, Lantsberg L and Odes HS: Video capsule entrapped in a Meckel's diverticulum *37*: 270-271, 2003.
- 13 Knapp AB and Ladetsky L: Endoscopic retrieval of a small bowel enteroscopy capsule lodged in a Zenker's diverticulum. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 3: 34, 2005.
- 14 Cheon JH, Kim YS, Lee IS, Chang DK, Ryu JK, Lee KJ, Moon JS, Park CH, Kim JO, Shim KN, Choi CH, Cheung DY, Jang BI, Seo GS, Chun HJ and Choi MG; Korean Gut Image Study Group: Can we predict spontaneous capsule passage after retention? A nationwide study to evaluate the incidence and clinical outcomes of capsule retentions. Endoscopy 39: 1046-1052, 2007.
- 15 Tanaka S, Mitsui K, Shirakawa K, Tatsuguchi A, Nakamura T, Hayashi Y, Sakamoto C and Terano A: Successful retrieval of video capsule endoscopy retained at ileal stenosis of Crohn's disease using double balloon endoscopy. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 21: 922-923, 2006.
- 16 Li F, Gurudu SR, De Petris G, Sharma VK, Shiff AD, Heigh RI, Fleischer DE, Post J, Erickson P and Leighton JA: Retention of the capsule endoscope: a single-center experience of 1000 capsule endoscopy procedures. Gastrointest Endosc 68: 174-180, 2008.
- 17 Enns R, Go K, Chang H and Pluta K: Capsule endoscopy: a single-center experience with the first 226 capsules. Can J Gastroenterol 18: 555-558, 2004.
- 18 Fry LC, De Petris G, Swain JM and Fleischer DE: Impaction and fracture of a video capsule in the small bowel requiring laparotomy for removal of the capsule fragments. Endoscopy 37: 674-676, 2005.

- 19 Rondonotti E, Herrerias JM, Pennazio M, Caunedo A, Mascarenhas-Saraiva M and de Franchis R: Complications, limitations, and failures of capsule endoscopy: a review of 733 cases. Gastrointest Endosc *62*: 712-716, 2005.
- 20 Baichi MM, Arifuddin RM and Mantry PS: What have we learned from 5 cases of permanent capsule retention? Gastrointest Endosc 64: 283-287, 2006.
- 21 Cheifez AS and Lewis BS: Capsule endoscopy retention: Is it a complication? J Clin Gastroenterol 40: 688-691, 2006.
- 22 Magdeburg R, Riester T, Hummel F, Löhr M, Post S and Sturm J: Ileus secondary to wireless capsule enteroscopy. Int J Colorectal Dis 21: 610-613, 2006.
- 23 Agaba EA, Shamseddeen H and Sasthakonar V: Fate of retained capsule. A pathognomonic for surgery. Am Surg 73: 1140-1143, 2007
- 24 Bai Y, Gao J, Song B, Zhou YQ, Zou DW and Li ZS: Surgical intervention for capsule endoscope retained at ileal stricture. Endoscopy 39: E268-E269, 2007.
- 25 Kelley SR and Lohr JM: Retained wireless video enteroscopy capsule. A case report and review of the literature. J Surg Educ 66: 296-300, 2009.

Received March 16, 2011 Revised April 26, 2011 Accepted April 27, 2011